

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,341	01/19/2007	Kensuke Yoshida	296371US0PCT	8959
22850 7590 08/16/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,341	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I start SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed v and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Septem	<u>nber 2006</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This actio	n is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance ex	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted	or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	
Certified copies of the priority documents have	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	•
application from the International Bureau (PC	. "
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Informatics, Disclosure, Statement (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

-, -	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
U.S. Pa	tent and Trademark Office	
	-326 (Rev. 08-06)	

3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/00)

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/593,341

Art Unit: 1619

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' are notified that claims 6-14 are drawn to improper multiple dependent claims.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I claim(s) 1-13 are, drawn to a cosmetic for eyelash.

Group II claim(s) 14 is drawn to a method of using the cosmetic for eyelash.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

As set forth in Rule 13.1 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), "the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions," Moreover, as stated in Rule 13.2 PCT, Unity of Invention is satisfied "where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art so linked as to form a single general inventive concept." The instant claim 1 does not present a contribution over the prior art. Claim 1 lacks novelty step. Claim 1 is anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,274,131 (131). Example 1 discloses film-forming polymer which is 1.5% and this is within the range of claim 1 and example 1 discloses water. The functional language of claim 1 is inherent since example 1 is to mascara, which is same as cosmetic for cyclash

As a result, as currently presented, the instant cosmetic for eyelash does not share a special technical feature with the instant method of using drawn to group II and, as such, unity between the above Groups I - II is broken. Application/Control Number: 10/593,341

Art Unit: 1619

Due to complexity of the action, examiner submitted Election Requirement in writing in lieu of calling applicants' attorney.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so

Art Unit: 1619

may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EYLER YVONNE (BONNIE) can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619